THE DISCIPLINARY AND ETHICS COMMISSION

In the Matter of

David William Weigel,

Respondent.

CFP Board Case No. 2024-66017

May 21, 2026

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER OF REVOCATION

On May 1, 2026, Enforcement counsel for Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards, Inc. ("CFP Board") filed a motion under Article 4.2 of the *Procedural Rules* ("Motion") requesting that counsel for CFP Board's Disciplinary and Ethics Commission ("DEC Counsel") issue an order revoking Respondent's CFP® certification and right to use the CFP Board marks.[1] Respondent did not file a response to the Motion.

For the reasons stated below, the Motion is **GRANTED**.

I. BACKGROUND

Respondent became a CFP® professional on September 16, 2011 and has been certified since that date.

A. Complaint

On February 11, 2026, Enforcement Counsel filed a Complaint with the Commission alleging several grounds for a sanction against Respondent. The Complaint alleges that on November 20, 2023, Respondent filed for Chapter 13 Bankruptcy protection, that the bankruptcy was converted to a Chapter 7 filing on November 20, 2023, and that the bankruptcy case remained open as of . the time the Complaint was filed.

The Complaint further alleges that Respondent has engaged in a pattern of unprofessional online activity as a self-described "Family Court Fraud Warrior." The Complaint describes and includes as exhibits hundreds of pages of public social media posts in which Respondent makes broad inflammatory accusations that those involved in the family court system are engaged in fraud, corruption, racketeering, child exploitation, and child trafficking. Several of the social media posts cite Respondent's CFP® certification as proof of his honesty and fair dealing.

The Complaint alleges that Respondent declined an invitation to speak with CFP Board Enforcement staff about its investigation into Respondent's conduct but instead responded by publicly and falsely accusing Enforcement staff of unspecified crimes using hostile and vulgar language. The Complaint attaches a screenshot and transcript of a Facebook video post and transcript reflecting Respondent's communication.

[1] Enforcement Counsel certified in its Motion that it had attempted to meet and confer with Respondent in a good faith attempt to resolve or narrow the issues on April 24, 2026, but Respondent refused to participate.

In the Matter of David William Weigel
CFP Board Case No. 2024-66017

The Complaints asserts that, based on the conduct alleged, Respondent violated Standards A.7 and E.2 of CFP Board's *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* ("*Code and Standards*"). Standard A.7 requires that a CFP® professional treat clients, fellow professionals, and others with dignity, courtesy, and respect. Standard E.2 prohibits a CFP® professional from engaging in conduct that reflects adversely on their integrity or fitness as a CFP® professional, on the CFP® marks, or on the profession.

Contemporaneously with its filing of the Complaint, Enforcement staff delivered the Complaint by electronic mail to Respondent's email address of record with CFP Board. After failing to file an answer to the Complaint by the March 13, 2026 deadline specified in CFP Board's *Procedural Rules*, on March 18, 2026, Respondent requested that the filing deadline be extended to the end of that day. Respondent did not file an answer on March 18, 2026. On March 24, 2026, counsel to the Commission issued an order to Respondent and Enforcement counsel allowing Respondent until 5:00 PM on March 27, 2026 to file an answer to the Complaint ("March Order"). The March Order states that if Respondent failed to timely file his answer, he would be in default under Articles 4.1.e and 4.1.h of the *Procedural Rules*. Respondent acknowledged the March Order and stated that he would file his answer by the deadline imposed by the March Order.

Respondent failed to timely file an answer that complies with the *Procedural Rules.* The letter and documentation he submitted on March 27, 2026 does not respond to allegations in the Complaint as required by Article 3.2.a.

B. Motion

Enforcement counsel asserts in its Motion that, by failing to comply with the March Order and failing to file an answer as required under Article 3.2 of the *Procedural Rules*, Respondent is in default under Articles 4.1.e and 4.1.h. Enforcement counsel states that it has determined that the seriousness, scope, and harmfulness of Respondent's conduct warrants an administrative order revoking Respondent's CFP Certification and Trademark License.

II. DISCUSSION

If Respondent fails to file an answer in accordance with Article 3.2 of the *Procedural Rules*, then Respondent is in default under Article 4.1.e. If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of an order issued by counsel to the Commission, then Respondent is in default under Article 4.1.h.

Enforcement Counsel's Motion states with reasonable particularity the grounds for Respondent's default, as required by Article 4.2 of the *Procedural Rules*. Respondent failed to file an answer to the Complaint that complied with the Article 3.2 of the *Procedural Rules* and with the March Order. Respondent is in therefore in default under Articles 4.1.e and 4.1.h.

Enforcement Counsel filed the Motion based on its determination of the seriousness, scope, and harmfulness of Respondent's conduct, as required under Article 4.2 of the *Procedural Rules*.

In the Matter of David William Weigel
CFP Board Case No. 2024-66017

III. CONCLUSION

Counsel to the Commission **GRANTS** the Motion and issues this **Administrative Order of Revocation** against Respondent revoking his CFP® certification and permanently prohibiting him from applying for or obtaining CFP Board certification in the future.

IV. COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER

Under Articles 4.5 and 11.2 of the *Procedural Rules*, Respondent is required to submit to Enforcement counsel, within 45 calendar days of issuance of this Order, written evidence that Respondent:

- Has advised Respondent's firm(s), in writing, of this Order in the manner set forth in Standard D.3 of the *Code and Standards*; and

- Has advised all Clients (as Client is defined in the Glossary to the *Code and Standards*) of this Order of Revocation and provided them the location of CFP Board's website that sets forth Respondent's disciplinary history in the manner set forth in Standard A.10 of the *Code and Standards*.

Under Articles 4.5 and 11.3 of the *Procedural Rules*, within 45 calendar days from the date of this Order, Respondent is required to submit to Enforcement counsel, by sending an email to discipline@cfpboard.org, Respondent's statement of assurance that Respondent will not use the CFP Board certification marks and proof that Respondent has removed the CFP Board certification marks from all internet sites or other tangible materials that Respondent exposes to the public, including screenshots of the businesses, social media, and third-party financial advisor listing website profiles that Respondent controls, pictures of signage, and when applicable, copies of Respondent's business cards, letterhead, and marketing and promotional materials, as well as pictures of any other materials Respondent controls in which the CFP® marks previously appeared publicly in reference to Respondent or Respondent's services. Failure to do so may result in further disciplinary or legal action regarding the unauthorized use of the CFP Board certification marks.

SO ORDERED

Counsel to the Disciplinary and Ethics Commission

Date: May 21, 2026